

106 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-397-2023

Date of Decision: 17.01.2023

Baljeet Kaur

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

On oral request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the memo of parties is ordered to be corrected and instead of Panchkula, Chandigarh is ordered to be mentioned in the designation of respondent No.2.

The petitioner has filed the instant petition before this Court with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No.5 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 17.12.2022 (Annexure P-2) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran and he shall be satisfied in case appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3 to look into her representation dated 17.12.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4, at this stage.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed with a direction to respondent No3- Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into the matter and take appropriate action as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

17.01.2023
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No