

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 111 **CRM-M-1673-2023**
Date of Decision : January 13, 2023

Rajesh Goyal ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Madaan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that the complainant has filed two separate complaints under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. In both, warrants of arrest were issued against the petitioner. In the other case i.e. NACT/94/2019, the petitioner had filed CRM-M-1387-2023, Rajesh Goyal vs. State of Haryana and vide order dated 11.01.2023 the petitioner was directed to appear on or before 30.01.2023 and seek regular bail. Thus, a similar order may be passed in this case also.

Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order being passed issuance of notice to respondent No.2 is dispensed with.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the trial Court concerned on the next date of hearing i.e. 20.01.2023 and seek regular bail. The trial Court shall decide the application, if filed, on the same day.

January 13, 2023

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No