

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh**Civil Revision No. 216 of 2020****Date of Decision: 18.10.2023**

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Jaswinder Kaur

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.Present: Ms. R.K.Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).Mr. Satinderpal Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for Mr. Ravinderjeet Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the respondent.**Anil Kshetarpal, J.**

1. The petitioner herein is a paternal uncle of two minor girls, namely Smilepreet Kaur and Seeratpreet Kaur. The mother of these two minor girls has filed an application under Section 8 of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956, for permission to sell the land measuring 18 kanals and 5 sarsahi. She has impleaded the general public as the respondent. The petitioner's application for impleadment has been dismissed by the trial Court. The petitioner claims that the mother of the two minor girls is wasting away the property of the minors. The trial Court has dismissed the application on the ground that the petitioner has no locus standi. Apart from the other reasons, the petitioner is a member of the general public. He has a right to appear on behalf of the general public and by that virtue he has sought to file his objection.

2. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present revision petition is allowed. The impugned order is set aside. The trial Court is directed to permit the petitioner to file his objections.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

October 18, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No