

DALJIT SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Same was the position on the previous date of hearing.

Learned State counsel submits that briefly, the allegations to the petitioner are to the effect that respondent No.6 had received a sum of Rs.6,00,000/- from the petitioner on the pretext of sending his daughter abroad. However, respondent No.6 could not do so. He had returned an amount of Rs.20,000/- in cash and cheque worth Rs.5,80,000/- drawn on OBC bank were handed over to the petitioner.

An enquiry in the matter has been conducted and it has been concluded that the matter in dispute is with regard to the money transactions and the petitioner can take up the matter in the Civil Court.

It may be mentioned here that though, the petitioner has not appeared but the material on record indicates that an enquiry in the matter has been conducted by the police authorities and no action has been initiated.

Even, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Same was the position on the previous date of hearing.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for want of

prosecution However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail alternative remedy as may be available to him in accordance with law.

25.01.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No