

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-454-2023

Date of decision:11.01.2023

Satbir Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for granting preference to the senior employees in the online transfer policy and for changing the criteria of marks laid down in the policy.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that the employees, who were senior in age, but junior to the petitioner, are given more marks in the online transfer policy adversely affecting his interest. He submits that the petitioner has raised his grievance by way of a demand notice dated 18.11.2022, Annexure P-4, which is pending before the authorities. He submits that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to the official respondent concerned to look into the same.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A. G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Given the nature of order being passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call upon the respondents to file a response.

Having heard counsel for the parties, but without examining the grievance of the petitioner on merits, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to examine the demand raised in notice, Annexure P-4, and passed a speaking order within a period of 06 weeks from the communication of a copy of this order.

11.01.2023
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No