

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(262)

CRM-M-2797-2022

Date of Decision: 20.02.2023

Sona Singh alias Sonu

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S.Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. B.S.Bath, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.152 dated 31.01.2021 registered under Section 295-A of the IPC, at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 24.12.2021 (Annexures P-2) effected between the private parties.

Pursuant to order dated 03.02.2022 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the private parties appeared before learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, to get their statements recorded. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, submitted his/her report dated 23.02.2022 along with original statements of the parties, which is taken on record.

It is now well settled that the High Court has inherent power to quash the criminal proceedings in non-compoundable cases on the basis of settlement between the parties for securing the ends of justice or to prevent abuse of the process where the possibility of conviction is remote and bleak and continuation of the criminal case would put the accused to great oppression and prejudice and extreme injustice would be caused to him by not quashing the criminal case. Criminal cases having overwhelmingly and predominantly civil character particularly those arising out of commercial transaction or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family dispute can be quashed when the parties have resolved their entire dispute among themselves. However, such power cannot be exercised in those prosecutions which involve heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape dacoity, etc. which are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society. Similarly, prosecution for offences alleged to have been committed under special enactments like the Prevention of Corruption Act or the offences committed by public servant while working in that capacity cannot be quashed on the basis of compromise between the victim and the offender. For judicial precedents in this regard, reference may be made to **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another : 2012(4) RCR Criminal) 543, Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab (Supreme Court) : 2014 (2) RCR (Criminal) 482, State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (Supreme Court) : 2019 (2) RCR (Criminal) 255 and Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others (Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052.**

According to the report, learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, is satisfied that compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and valid one.

Considering the report dated 23.02.2022 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Patti, and the fact that the compromise will bring peace and harmony between the parties, aforesaid FIR No.152 dated 31.01.2021 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are quashed, qua the petitioner only.

Disposed of, accordingly.

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

20.02.2023
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No