

106

1. CWP-594-2020
Date of decision: 31.01.2023

VISHAL KUMAR AND ORS. **..Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. **..Respondents**

2. CWP-1434-2020

GURPREET KAUR AND ORS. ..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. ..Respondents

3. CWP-1795-2020

NAVDEEP SIDHU AND ORS. **..Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. **..Respondents**

4. CWP-3561-2020

POONAM RANI	..Petitioner
Versus	
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.	..Respondents

5. CWP-2981-2020

NEELAM RANI AND ORS. ..Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate
Mr. Surender Kumar Rohilla, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP-594 & 1434-2020)

Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-1795-2020).

Mr. Naveen Kuhad, Advocate
for Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-3561-2020)

Ms. Saruti Sandal, Advocate
for Mr. Ranbir Singh Pathania, Advocate
for the petitioners (in CWP-2981-2020).

Mr. R.S. Pandher, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The learned counsel representing the petitioners admit that in view of the synopsis filed by the State of Punjab, the writ petitions are rendered infructuous.

The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 31st, 2023

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>