

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1586-2023  
Decided on : 11.04.2023

Pardeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.**

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.186 dated 27.11.2022 under Sections 379-B, 341, 382, 323, 148 and 149 IPC registered at Police Station Nathana District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 19.01.2023, petitioner was granted the concession of anticipatory bail in the following terms:-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that totally false allegations have been levelled against the petitioner in the FIR in question that he along with the co-accused snatched the scooty of the complainant and thereafter, he along with the co-accused physically assaulted the complainant when he went to the house of the accused to protest. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been implicated due to local politics and the delay of 02 days in*

*lodging of the FIR in question lends support to his false implication.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 19.01.2023, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation. This fact is not disputed by the counsel for the State as well.

Learned State counsel on instructions states that the petitioner is no longer required for further interrogation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 19.01.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

23.03.2023  
*sonia*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable :       | Yes/No |