

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

118

CR-209-2023 (O & M)

Date of Decision: January 16, 2023

SHEELU ALIAS SUSHIL KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAM NIWAS AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 21.12.2022 (Annexure P-10) whereby the third party objections under Order 21 Rules 97 and 99 read with Section 151 CPC have been declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the predecessor-in-interest of the petitioner have been residing in the premises for over 50 years and their objections have been rejected.

Heard.

I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order rejecting the objections preferred by the petitioner as petitioner is claiming that he has stepped into the shoes of his predecessor Deep Chand (deceased), who did not have any independent right in the suit premises as he was only an employee of the judgment debtors. Deep Chand had also stated so while appearing in the witness box as RW-3 in the rent application No.50 of 20.09.1996 whereby the decree-holders have sought ejectment of judgment debtors on the ground of creating sub-tenancy in favour of Deep Chand and one Kalu Ram. Deep Chand

did not have any independent right, therefore, the objections filed by the petitioner, who is his successor in interest, have been rightly dismissed.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 16, 2023
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No