

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Transfer Application No. 18 of 2023

Date of decision:-24.05.2023

Amandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

vs

Harjit Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Shubham Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

NIDHI GUPTA, J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed by petitioner-wife is for transfer of the petition filed by respondent-husband under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short 'the Act') titled "Harjit Singh vs. Amandeep Kaur" pending in the Court of Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court-II, Jalandhar to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ferozepur.

2. As per office report, notice issued to the respondent has been received back with the report that 'he did not come out to accept notice. Affixation done'. It seems that the service has been validly effected upon the respondent, however, there is no representation on behalf of respondent, therefore, he is proceeded against *ex parte*. Accordingly, this petition is being decided in his absence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended :-

- i) That the parties were married on 17.11.2019 according to Sikh rites and rituals.

- ii) That no child was born out of this wedlock.
 - iii) That the petitioner-wife is living separately from the respondent-husband and living with her parents at their mercy at Ferozepur.
 - iv) That the petitioner is unemployed, having no source of income and totally dependent upon her parents and the respondent-husband is not paying anything to her towards maintenance.
 - v) That the proceedings arising out of petition under Section 13 of the Act, filed by the petitioner-wife is pending before the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Ferozepur.
 - vi) That the distance between place of residence of the petitioner-wife i.e. Ferozepur and the place of proceedings under Section 9 of the Act, filed by the respondent-husband, pending before the Principal Judge, Family Court, Jalandhar, is about 125 kilometers on one side.
4. It is inter alia on these grounds that petitioner prays for transfer of the case, as detailed in para 1 above.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
6. Besides the facts as noticed hereinabove, the legal position in such like cases as the present one, is well established. In this regard, judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court rendered in **N.C.V. Aishwarya vs A.S. Saravana Karthik Sha," 2022 Live Law (SC) 627**, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court has held as under:-

“9. The cardinal principle for exercise of power under section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is that the ends of justice should demand the transfer of the suit, appeal or other proceeding. In matrimonial matters, wherever Courts are called upon to consider the plea of transfer, the Courts have to take into consideration the economic soundness of both the parties, the social strata of the spouses and their behavioural pattern, their standard of life prior to the marriage and subsequent thereto and the circumstances of both the parties in eking out their livelihood and under

whose protective umbrella they are seeking their sustenance to life. Given the prevailing socioeconomic paradigm in the Indian society, generally, it is the wife's convenience which must be looked at while considering transfer.

10. Further, when two or more proceedings are pending in different Courts between the same parties which raise common question of fact and law, and when the decisions in the cases are interdependent, it is desirable that they should be tried together by the same Judge so as to avoid multiplicity in trial of the same issues and conflict of decisions.”

7. Further reliance can be placed upon the judgments in **“Sumita Singh vs Kumar Sanjay”, 2002 SC 396** and **“Rajani Kishor Pardeshivs Kishor Babulal Pardeshi”, 2005(12) SCC 237**, wherein the Hon’ble Supreme Court has observed that “while deciding the transfer application, the Courts are required to give more weightage and consideration to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another should ordinarily be allowed, taking into consideration their convenience and the Courts should desist from putting female litigants under undue hardships.”

8. Even this Court in number of cases has followed the aforesaid principle of law. Accordingly, it is well settled that while considering the transfer of a matrimonial dispute/case, at the instance of the wife, the Court is to consider the family condition of the wife, the custody of the minor child, economic condition of the wife, her physical health and earning capacity of the husband and most important the convenience of the wife i.e. she cannot travel alone without assistance of a male member of her family, connectivity of the place to and fro from her place of residence as well as bearing of the litigation charges and travelling expenses.

9. In view of the facts mentioned above and the judgments i.e. **Sumita Singh's** case (supra), **Rajani Kishor Pardeshi's** case (supra) and **N.C.V. Aishwarya's** case (supra) passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the present petition, subject to the following conditions:-

- a) The petition filed by respondent husband under Section 9 of Act, bearing HMA/179/2022 titled as 'Harjit Singh vs. Amandeep Kaur', pending in the Court of Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court-II, Jalandhar is transferred to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ferozepur.
- b) The Id. District Judge, Jalandhar is directed to transfer complete record pertaining to the aforesaid case to District Judge, Ferozepur.
- c) The parties are directed to appear before the District & Sessions Judge, Ferozepur on 06.7.2023.
- d) The District Judge, Ferozepur will assign the said petition to the Court of competent jurisdiction.

10. The concerned Court at Ferozepur will make all endeavour to refer the case before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre for exploring the possibility of some amicable settlement between the parties.

11. The Court concerned, where the litigation is pending between the parties, will accommodate them with one date in one calendar month.

12. I am supported by the decisions rendered by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **TA No. 1315/2022, Rohini Arora v Nitin Talwar; TA No. 1322 of 2022, Jaswinder Kaur v Gurbinderjeet Singh; and TA No. 1323 of 2022, Usha Rani v Karmajit Singh.**

Disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

24.05.2023
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	YES/NO
Whether Reportable	YES/NO