

2023:PHHC:154451

205 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp No.271 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.12.2023

Zile Singh
.....Petitioner

Versus

Birender Malik and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for violating of order dated 17.03.2015 passed by this Court.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the necessary pensionary benefits to which the petitioner was entitled after counting his part time service as per the judgment passed by this Court in the case of *Kesar Chand Versus State of Punjab and others, AIR 1988, P&H, 265*, have been granted. However, the petitioner was not entitled to any pension, as such, because his service after regularization was only for one year. Since, the petitioner was not entitled to pension, therefore, he has not been granted any pension on the ground of his part time service.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered as infructuous and dismissed, as such.

However, if the petitioner is having any grievance qua non grant of pension, he would be at liberty to raise his grievance in appropriate proceedings, but in accordance with law.

04.12.2023
sandeep

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No