

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCN-2675-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.05.2023

Plot Owner Welfare Association, Sector 57, Gurgaon

... Petitioner

Vs.

Trilok Chand Gupta, Financial Commissioner & Principal Secretary to
Govt. of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Surya Prakash, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 02.05.2014, vide
which following directions were issued: -

“Such an issue came to be resolved by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 04.10.2011 passed in CWP No.18681 of 2011 [Resident Welfare Society, Sector 26, Panchkula Vs. HUDA & Ors.] [P-4] whereby the respondent – authorities were directed to constitute a Committee who was then to consider the objections received from the aggrieved plot holders. We, thus, dispose of this writ petition in the same terms with a direction to the respondents that let a Committee be constituted to consider the

objections already submitted or which may be supplemented by the petitioner Association within a period of one month. The said Committee shall then hear the petitioner Association as a representative of the plot-holders and determine their objections by passing a speaking order. The needful shall be done within four months from the date of constitution of such Committee.”

In compliance of the aforesaid order, a speaking order dated 20.01.2016 has already been passed, dealing with all the issues parawise.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 has placed on record copy of the order dated 02.05.2016 to submit that the petitioner has already challenged the speaking order by way of filing CWP-5610-2016, which is still pending.

In view of same, the question as to whether speaking order has been passed making proper compliance, is subjudice before the writ Court, therefore, at this stage, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of this petition, after decision of CWP-5610-2016, if so required.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

03.05.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No