

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-2487-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.01.2023

Brijesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

Raj Kumar and anr.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Madhur Jangra, Advocate for
Mr. Himanshu Rao, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 02.09.2009, vide which, while disposing of bunch of writ petitions, it was directed that the respondent-Nigam will not directly appoint any contract employee in place of the petitioner.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Executive Engineer, Operation Division, DHBVN, Dharuhera, District Rewari is on record, in which it is stated that the petitioner is not found possessing requisite qualification, as it is required that the petitioner should possess the qualification of 10+2 and ITI from Govt. Institute/Govt. Recognized Institute, whereas he is holding an ITI

certificate from non-recognized institute. Therefore, the petitioner was replaced, as he was not having necessary technical qualification.

In view of the above, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

09.01.2023

vishnu

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No