

Kartik

..... Petitioner

V/s.

Gulshan Kumar

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. R.K. Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Arvind Singh Sangwan, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of order dated 09.07.2018 passed in CR No.4203 of 2018.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that in a civil suit filed by the petitioner/ plaintiff, the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC was allowed holding that they are in possession of the suit property. The appeal filed by the defendant was dismissed by the lower Appellate Court and thereafter, in the aforesaid revision filed by the defendant, an order of status quo was passed. Counsel submits that since the respondent-Gulshan Kumar and another were trying to interfere in their possession and the petitioner has approached the police, an FIR has already been registered.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent-defendant submits that the main suit is at final stage and the defendant will not interfere in the possession till the time, the main civil suit is decided.

In view of this, the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, having become infructuous.

31st January, 2023

Sonia Puri

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No