

101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-589-2020

Date of decision: 21.03.2023

Surender Kumar

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: - Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.

Prayer as made in the writ petition cannot be accepted in the present form, however, as and when an advertisement is issued by the respondents, the petitioner may apply in pursuance thereto. In case such an application is made on the requisite proforma along with the fee, the same shall be considered and a decision taken thereon.

In case the petitioner moves an application for withdrawal of the amount which has been initially stated to have been deposited by the petitioner, the same shall be considered by the competent authority and order passed thereon. In case the representation is accepted, consequential benefits be released to the petitioner according to the policy of the Government of the respondents within a further period of four weeks.

The writ petition stands disposed of with above observation.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

21.03.2023

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no