

COCp-2318-2014

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

COCp-2318-2014

Date of decision: 30.11.2023

AMRIK SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

GURDEEP SINGH & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Harjot Singh Bedi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. S.S.Salar, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. P.S.Guliani, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 and 5.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the orders dated 28.04.2006 and 20.09.2010 passed in CWP-6475-2006.

2. Counsel for the respondents have submitted that construction alleged to have been raised on the land in dispute, does not even belong to anyone of them. Therefore, they have unnecessarily been impleaded as parties to the present petition.

3. Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that operation of the

COCp-2318-2014

order through which the land was allotted to the respondents, was stayed by this Court, despite that they have sold the land to some other persons.

4. However, even that would not constitute any contempt qua the order passed by the Court, though the respondents may be liable for any other action under any other law, if the concerned competent authorities or the petitioner may have to initiate against them.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

6. However, the petitioner and the concerned competent authorities would be at liberty to take or to continue any other action against the respondents as well, if in their assessments, the respondents have committed any illegality.

30.11.2023

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No