

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133

CWP-250-2023

Date of decision: 09.01.2023

JASPREET KAUR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate
for respondent-SBI.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to close the FDR issued by the respondent-Bank and credit the FDR amount in the account of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already submitted an application to the respondent-Bank for closing of the FDR made by the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent-State Bank of India and contends that the present petition is misconceived and the petitioner has alternative and efficacious remedies available to him under the banking regulations as also under the common law in the form of filing an appropriate petition either before the Civil Court or before the District Consumer Disputes

Redressal Commissions as well as under the Legal Service Authority Act, 1987. Besides, there is no such adjudicatory power vested with the Branch Manager and the appropriate remedy available with the petitioner, if he is not satisfied would be to approach the competent authorities under the appropriate regulations as/or the statues.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdrawn the present petition with liberty to take recourse to the alternative remedies available to him in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberties as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 09, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No