

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2164-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2023**

Nayyab Singh @ Naib Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Som Nath and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. R. V. S. Chugh, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. S. K. Verma, Advocate
for respondent No. 5.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 submits that there is nothing on record to suggest that they were ever communicated the order dated 28.04.2014 and being the officials, they cannot be held guilty of non-compliance, if any, of order of this Court.

In view of the same, rule issued against respondent Nos. 1 and 2 stands discharged.

Learned counsels, appearing for respondent Nos. 3 to 5, submit that the main RSA is listed and likely to be argued.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered

infructuous, at this stage.

The petitioner is granted liberty to revive the present petition after the decision in RSA, if so required.

27.02.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>