

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

2023:PHHC:099259

**CR-710-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.08.2023**

AVNEET SINGH AND OTHERS

..Petitioner

Versus

SARABJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the respondent No.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The case has been called thrice.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners did not come forward to press this revision petition.
3. On the other hand, the learned counsel representing the respondent No.1 submits that the trial Court has allowed the application filed under Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 1908 Act'), while granting the liberty to the respondent No.1 to file a separate application under Order VI Rule 17 of the 1908 Act. He submits that subsequently the application filed under Order VI Rule 17 of the 1908 Act to claim relief against the newly impleaded defendant was allowed in CR-2374-2022, has been upheld.
4. He submits that now in view of the amendment in the plaint, the present revision petition is rendered infructuous.
5. Since, the learned counsel representing the petitioner is not present, hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 02nd, 2023
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No