

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRA-S N.56 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.09.2023**

Narinder Singh

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

The appellant herein has prayed for the quashing of the order dated 02.01.2023 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari, whereby the application moved by him for seeking the relief of anticipatory bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.209 dated 25.07.2021 registered at Police Station Chhappar, District Yamuna Nagar, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 354, 427 & 506 IPC and Sections 3(1)(w)(i), 3(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (wherein the offence under Section 308 IPC is stated to have been added later-on), has been dismissed and he has also sought the above-mentioned relief.

2. However, none has put in appearance in the Court today to

represent the appellant.

3. At this stage, learned State counsel, on the instructions from SI Chander Pal from the afore-referred Police Station, informs the Court that the petitioner has already been arrested in the criminal case under reference on 07.03.2023 and he has also submitted the copies of his (petitioner's) Arrest Form, disclosure statement and of the notice issued to him under Section 41-A Cr.P.C and these documents have been placed on the file.

4. In view of the above-discussed facts and circumstances, it becomes explicit that the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

5. Resultantly, the same stands disposed of accordingly.

September 25, 2023
pooja

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>