

2023:PHHC:148166

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-275-2020

Date of Decision: 21.11.2023

RAVINDER KUMAR

....Petitioner

VERSUS

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD. AND
OTHERS**

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the relief prayed for in the instant writ petition already stands granted to the petitioner as his case has been considered on account of granting him another chance to qualify the departmental examination which was one of the pre-requisite condition for offer of appointment.

In light of the above fact that though prima facie the present petition has been rendered infructuous and there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner as well. Relying upon the statement of the respondents' counsel, this Court has no reason to disbelieve that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival, if still any grievance prayed for in the instant petition remains to be addressed.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed having been rendered infructuous.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

21.11.2023

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No