

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-1900-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.05.2023

PARKASH SINGH DHILLON

... Petitioner

Vs.

PARAMPAL SINGH SIDHU AND ORS.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Nitin Narula, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Mehta, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. D.K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Gunjan Rishi, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 14.12.2017
passed in CWP-28513-2017, vide which, while issuing notice of motion, status
quo was directed to be maintained.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that auction took
place on 10.05.2017 under SARFAESI Act and thereafter, sale certificate was
issued on 31.05.2017 and even sale deed was executed on 27.11.2017 and

possession was handed over to the auction purchaser. Learned counsel has raised an objection that auction purchaser is not arrayed as respondent in the present contempt petition and since the stay order was passed on 14.12.2017, respondents No.1 to 3 are neither in possession nor they have violated any such order.

In view of the above, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy against the auction purchaser, if any, in accordance with law.

04.05.2023
vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No