

118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-3186-CII-2023 in/and
CR-270-2023
Date of Decision :20.03.2023

Raj Kumar ...Petitioner

versus

Sanjay alias SanjuRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-3186-CII-2023

Prayer in the application is for preponement of date of hearing
of the main case.

For the reasons as are mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed and date of hearing of the main case is preponed from 18.04.2023,
to today itself.

CR-270-2023

1. Challenge in the petition under Article 227 of the
Constitution of India is to order Annexure P/6 dated 04.10.2022, passed
by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ambala, in case bearing
No.EXE/399/2019, in case titled as 'Sanjay alias Sanju vs. Raj Kumar',
whereby the learned Executing Court dismissed the objections filed by
the petitioner/judgment debtor and issued warrants of possession for
07.11.2022.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he
does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to pursue

CR-270-2023 [2]

his remedy, in accordance with law otherwise than by way of this petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is dismissed as not pressed with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.03.2023
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No