

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-348-2020

Date of decision : 24.05.2023

Ranmail Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Ravi Gakhar, Advocate
for the petitioners.Mr.Karan Puggal, DAG, Punjab
for respondents no.1 to 4.Ms.Raman Rekhi, Advocate for
Mr. C.L.Premy, Advocate
for respondent no.5.Mr. Suraj Kaundal, Advocate
for respondent no.7.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing the inaction of official respondents for committing violations of provisions of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 whereby they have failed to dispose of the complaint dated 08.06.2018 (Annexure P-1) and legal notice dated 24.08.2019 (Annexure P-2).

2. On 10.05.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Learned State counsel, on instructions from Ms.Gurpreet Kaur,
Panchayat Secretary, Block Kharar, District SAS Nagar, has submitted*

that inquiry in the present matter is pending before the DDPO and the same would be concluded expeditiously.

There is a written request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioners.

Adjourned to 24.05.2023.

To be taken up at 01:45 PM.

May 10, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Amrinderpal Singh, DDPO, SAS Nagar, Mohali, has submitted that enquiry, which is pending before DDPO, would be concluded within a period of two months from today and further appropriate action, in accordance with law, would be taken on conclusion of said enquiry, as expeditiously as possible. It is further submitted that in view of the same, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners, in view of the stand taken by learned State counsel, has submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of but the State be bound by the statement made before this Court.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 24, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No