

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1691-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.02.2023**

Harpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ranjit Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ashok Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Swaich, Advocate
for the applicants/respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

CM-2429-CII-2023

Allowed as prayed for.

Reply, filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3, is taken on
record.

Main case

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 11.11.2016, passed by this Court in CWP-16067-2016, filed by Mehar Kaur and others, vide which, interim order dated 09.08.2016, wherein it was directed that the impugned orders including Sanad Takseem as well as dispossession of the petitioners shall remain stayed, was modified with the consent of the parties to the extent that the parties shall maintain status quo as it existed till further orders.

Admittedly, the petitioner is a consenting party for maintaining status quo with regard to land in dispute and, therefore, at this stage, when both the parties are disputing as to who is in possession of the property, no

case of any willful disobedience is made out.

In view of the same, the present petition is dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to approach the writ Court for redressal of his grievances, if any.

07.02.2023

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No