

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

236

CRM-M-825-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.05.2023

Mehma Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. TPS Bawa Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG Punjab

Mr. Vikram Kumar, Advocate for

Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 to 5

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for directing the respondent Nos.1 to 4 not to illegally use the State machinery to obstruct the business activities of the petitioner by summoning them to police station time and again and not to compel the petitioner No.2 to cancel the agreement to sell dated 16.01.2019.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the matter stands settled.

3. Notice of motion was issued on 10.01.2020, pursuant thereto reply dated 22.03.2022 by way of an affidavit of Ashok Kumar, Assistant Sub Inspector, P.S. Satnampura, Phagwara, Sub Division Phagwara, District Kapurthala has been filed on behalf of respondent No.4.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the matter stands amicably settled between the parties, who had made their respective statements. The

complaints submitted by petitioner No.2 have been enquired into and were consigned to record room, copies whereof have been attached with the reply as Annexure R-1 and R-2.

- 5. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed.
- 6. Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.05.2023
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No