

216 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-951-1986
DECIDED ON: 25.05.2023**

MOHNA SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PB.STATE

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. This case has been put up for hearing without any record. There is no paper book. A perusal of the office noting shows that no record is available with the office. The office of the District and Sessions Judge, Ferozepur, was asked to supply the complete paper book of the present case. Vide communication dated 13.07.2021, which is on record, the District and Sessions Judge, Ferozepur, has reported that as per the report dated 29.06.2021, submitted by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur, notice had been issued to the present petitioner, Mohan Singh in pursuance of which Sohan Singh, son of Mohan Singh appeared and gave a statement before the Court that Mohan Singh was his father and had expired on 14.06.1993. He also stated that he had no knowledge if any case was registered against his father or he had engaged any counsel or not. The relevant part of the said communication is reproduced herein below:-

“Respected Sir,

Reference to the Hon’ble High Court letter

No.1149 (Recon. Cell) 1996, dated 15.06.2020 and in

continuation of this office Endst. No.3457/EB dated 28.05.2021, on the subject cited above.

I have the honour to submit that as per report received from Sh. Suresh Kumar Goyal, Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ferozepur vide his letter No.36 dated 29.06.2021 (copy enclosed), a notice has been issued to Mohan Singh and thereafter Sohan Singh son of Mohan Singh alias Mahna Singh has appeared and suffered statement before the Court that Mohan Singh alias Manha Singh accused was his father and he had expired on 14.06.1993. He further stated that he has no knowledge, if any case was registered against his father and he engaged any counsel or not as he was kid at that time and they have no record regarding the above said case.

This is for kind information of the Hon'ble High Court."

2. Learned counsel representing the State of Punjab submits that he has no instructions in the matter nor is any record available.

3. The present revision petition is pending since the year 1986. It is not known as to what order was impugned in the said petition. The petitioner Mohan Singh is stated to have expired way back in the year 1993. A perusal of the paper book shows that notice is also being issued to learned counsel for the petitioner but no one has appeared. In fact it was reported by the Registry that counsel for the petitioner i.e. Sh. M.M. Kumar had also been elevated to the Bench. In fact, Justice M.M. Kumar has since long demitted office also.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petition pending. It is therefore, ordered to be consigned to

CRR-951-1986

records. However, in case any application is received from any of the parties at any stage, the same would stand revived.

Disposed of accordingly.

25.05.2023
Prince Chawla

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No