

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-274-2023**

**Date of Decision: January 31, 2023**

**Dr. R.K. Jain @ Rajesh Kumar Jain**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Sandeep Mehra @ Bunty**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

**Present:-** Petitioner Rajesh Jain in person.

**DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

Petitioner has argued in person.

Photocopy of a document Ex. D6 was produced during proceedings of a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (hereinafter referred as 'the N.I. Act), which had been filed by the petitioner.

The grouse of the petitioner is that subsequently photocopy document was converted into certified copy and the same was used by the respondent in subsequent proceedings. Certified copy of the document is Annexure P-7, which was used in the subsequent proceedings, whereas Annexure P-6 is the photocopy of the document which was produced in the earlier proceedings under Section 138 of the N.I. Act.

Petitioner launched proceedings under Section 340 read with Section 195 Cr.P.C. for taking cognizance of the forgery but his complaint was dismissed vide order dated 15.02.2021 by learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Jind and appeal against that order has been dismissed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Jind on 05.11.2022, against which this revision has been filed.

After hearing the petitioner, I find no merit in this revision.

Petitioner has failed to convince this Court as to how the respondent is responsible in issuing the certified copy of photocopy document. It is the Copying Agency, which issued the certified copy.

As such, finding no merit in the present revision petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

**January 31, 2023**  
sarita

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No