

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-78-2023(O&M)

Date of Decision : **February 27, 2023**

TARSEM SINGH & ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. A.D.S.Sukhija, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P.Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SURESHWAR THAKUR. J.(Oral)

1. Disposed of with a liberty to the petitioners to challenge Annexure P-31 before the competent authority. The challenge to Annexure P-31 is permitted to be extendable even to the aspect of imposition of composition charges, upon, the petitioners rather being made without any prior notice. Moreover, the said challenge is also extendable to the purported deviations, as made from the sanctioned building plans, by the petitioners rather being a result of mis-measurements of the purported excess constructions being made at the site concerned, by the petitioners. It shall also be extendable to the composition rates, as became levied through Annexure P-1 in respect of such purported excess deviations from the sanctioned building plans.

2. Learned State counsel submits, that the respondent concerned, is at this stage, not pressing for a decision, if any, qua the CLU, as became granted to the petitioner.

3. The said application be forthwith instituted, and, on its preferment, the same shall be decided within a period of six months thereafter but after hearing all the affected persons concerned.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

February 27, 2023
ajay-1

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No