

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(261)

CWP-119-2023

Date of decision: - 10.02.2023

Imtiakum

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Puggal, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Sahil Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus/certiorari or any other writ, order, direction to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 to release the original academic documents and migration certificate/NOC to the petitioner.

On 06.01.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon a decision of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court dated 08.08.2022, passed in CWP-10671-2022 titled as Monika Versus Pt. B.D. Sharma, University of Health Sciences, Rohtak and others and has submitted that the present petitioner is on similar footing as that of the petitioner

in the said case. It is further submitted that the petitioner to ready to offer the payment of entire fee.

Notice of motion for 25/1/2023.

At this stage, Ms. Anu Pal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and seeks time to file the reply.

To be taken up at 1.45 PM.

January 06, 2023

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE”**

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Dr. Jasbir Singh (Nodal Officer), Department Medical Education and Research, has submitted that in case the petitioner is ready to deposit the balance fee, then the original documents alongwith NOC would be released to the petitioner on the same day on which the said balance fee would be deposited.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the above-said statement satisfies the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

The respondents-State would be bound by the above-said statement made before this Court.

February 10, 2023
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No