

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208-A

CRM-M-254-2023
Date of decision: 11.04.2023

INDERJIT SINGH @ RINKA

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. P. S. Paul, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 382 dated 28.10.2021, under Section 420 of IPC (Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala.

On 06.01.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr. P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.382 dated 28.10.2021, registered under Section 420 IPC (Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC added later on) at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that he

has no role to play in either the sale or purchase of the vehicle, which is in the possession of Ravinder Pal Singh @ Ruby, the co-accused, who has since been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 22.12.2022, Annexure P-2.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 11.01.2023. In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 09.02.2023. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the above order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 06.01.2023 granting interim bail to him, are hereby made absolute,

subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.04.2023
Mehak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No