

2023:PHHC:129121

104-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1.CR-2943-1991 (O&M)

Ravinder Nath

Versus

....Petitioner

Sohan Lal

..Respondent

2.CR-3140-1991 (O&M)

Ravinder Nath

Versus

....Petitioner

Daulat Ram

..Respondent

3.CR-3467-1991 (O&M)

Ravinder Nath Mittal

Versus

....Petitioner

Smt. Polima

..Respondent

4.CR-3471-1991 (O&M)

Ravinder Nath

Versus

....Petitioner

Dal Bahadur Singh

..Respondent

5.CR-3472-1991 (O&M)

Ravinder Nath Mittal

Versus

....Petitioner

Mrs. Charles

..Respondent

2023:PHHC:129121

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- None

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The office has listed as many as 10 revision petitions (mentioned above) after a period of 31/32 years of their filing. It is evident that major portion of the paperbooks as well as the record was burnt in an accidental fire and from the available material, it is difficult to make out the subject matter of these revision petitions.

As per the office note, Mr. Piyush Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner in CR-3140-1991, 3467-1991, 3468-1991, 3472-1991 and 138-1992 has been informed about the today's hearing whereas in the remaining cases, the learned counsel representing the petitioner has died.

From the documents available, it is not possible to make out the complete details of the petitioners in all these revision petitions.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, all the ten revision petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution, with liberty to the petitioner (in each revision petition) to file an application for revival, if so advised.

05.10.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE