

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-352-2018

Date of decision : 08.12.2023

State of HaryanaPetitioner

Versus

M/s Continental Construction LimitedRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Vivek Gupta, Advocate, for
Dr. Ashwinie Kumar Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate, for the respondent.

RITU BAHRI, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

This petition under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, has been filed by the petitioner alleging that in spite of issuance of notice dated 21.08.2018 (Annexure P-3) to the respondent for appointment of an Arbitrator, the respondent did not respond to the same.

On 01.12.2023, learned counsel for the respondent had proposed to appoint Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate, as an Arbitrator, in this case. Today, learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, states that he has no objection to the proposed name.

In view of the aforesaid position and the consensus arrived at between the parties, Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate, who is stated to be on the panel of Arbitrators in Delhi, is appointed as an Arbitrator, in this case.

Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(RITU BAHRI)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

December 08, 2023
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No