

2023:PHHC:099615

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRWP-101-2022

Date of Decision: 03.08.2023

Kuldip Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to protect the life, liberty and property of the petitioner and for issuance of further directions to respondents No. 1 to 4 to take immediate action and conduct a free, fair, transparent, independent and impartial investigation after registration of FIR into the occurrence dated 08.06.2021.

2. The present petition bears the date 21.12.2021.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that due to efflux of time, threat perceptions for the time being vanished. However, he seeks liberty to seek protection in case need arises and for remaining reliefs, he be permitted to file a fresh representation and the said representation be decided in a time bound manner.

3(a). Let the petitioner represent the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police/ Commissioner of Police, within two weeks from today. If the petitioner files a representation within the above-mentioned time period of two weeks, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police/ Commissioner of Police, within two months, either himself/herself or by authorizing and delegating it to any officer holding IPS cadre. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to counsel for the petitioner as well as representationists without delay. Counsel for the petitioner is requested to mention his email id in the representation on which, the State shall convey the outcome of the representation.

4. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.
5. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.
6. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is disposed of with the aforesaid observations. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to seek protection, in case, need arises. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.08.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No