

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-57-2023

Date of Decision : **January 06, 2023**

JYOTI @ RAZIYA AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Akash Manocha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents not to harass or interfere in their peaceful married life.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are married. The date of birth of petitioner no. 1 is 24.1.2004 and thus she is about 19 years of age and the date of birth of petitioner no. 2 is 31.7.2001 and that he is now completed 21 years of age. To substantiate this submission, learned counsel for the petitioners placed reliance upon copies of Aadhar Cards attached with the petition as Annexures P/1 and P/2. He further submits that both the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of respondents no. 5 to 12 on 30.12.2022 and now they are apprehending threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents. He further submits that the

petitioners have submitted a representation dated 30.12.2022 Annexure P/5 to the official respondents but no action has been taken on the same.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. C.L.Pawar, Addl. AG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents no. 1 to 4.

In view of the above, without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent no. 2 - The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana to look into the representation dated 30.12.2022, (Annexure P/5) qua threat perception and if there is any substance in it, shall take necessary steps in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of private respondents.

However, this direction will not validate the marriage as claimed by the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 06, 2023
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No