

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-61-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.02.2023

SUNIL SAROHA @ SUNIL KUMAR

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. A.K. Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.272 dated 13.06.2022, under Sections 406, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sadar District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 06.01.2023, of this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur. It is his further submission that the tractor in question is now parked in the Duhan Parking Yard and is not with the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of bank submits that the petitioner had informed that the tractor was parked at a secluded place from where the petitioner retrieved it and has handed over to the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 06.01.2023 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

February 09, 2023
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No