

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 201 OF 2024

Criminal Revision Case under Section 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C to set aside the order dt.12-09-2015 passed in CrI.M.P.No.62/2015 in M.C.No.15/2015 on the file of the Honorable Court of the Judge, Family Court-cum- Additional District and Sessions Court., Nizamabad.

Between:

Mirza Farooq Baig, S/o Late Mirza Vazeer Baig, aged about 49 years, Occ. Home Guard No.185 at Bodhan, R/o Police Quarter No.30, Bodhan Town, Nizamabad District.

...PETITIONER/RESPONDENT

AND

1. Shabana Firdouse, W/o Mirza Farooq Baig, Aged about 30 years, Occ. Household, R/o presently H.No.9-20-1507, Near Umar Masjid, Auto Nagar, Nizamabad.

...Respondent/Petitioner.

2. The State of Telangana, rep by P.P, Hyderabad, High Court at Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/Respondents

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2016(CRLRCMP. NO: 2731 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased stay all further proceedings in pursuance of order passed in CrI.M.P.No.63/2015 in M.C.No.15/2015, dt: 12-09-2015 on the file of the Court of the Hon'ble the Judge, Family Court-cum- Additional District and Sessions Court., Nizamabad pending disposal of the CrI.R.C.

Counsel for the Petitioner : SRI. VANAM VISHWANATHAM

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: SRI V.S.K.RAMA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI VIZARATH ALI,

ASST. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR (TG)

The Court made the following ORDER:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.201 OF 2024

ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed under Sections 397 and 401 of Cr.P.C seeking to set aside the order in Crl.M.P.No.63 of 2015 in M.C.No.15 of 2015, dated 12.09.2015 passed by the Family Court-cum-Additional District and Sessions Court at Nizamabad.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the cause in the present criminal revision case does not survive for adjudication as the parties to this criminal revision case have settled the issues outside the Court. Therefore, no further orders are required and hence seeks to dismiss the same as infructuous.

4. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent-State is present and takes no objection to the same.

5. Taking on record the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for respondent-State, this criminal revision case is dismissed as infructuous.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this criminal revision case, shall stand closed.

Sd/- T. KRISHNA KUMAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judge, Family Court-cum- Additional District and Sessions Court, Nizamabad
2. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI.VANAM VISHWANATHAM, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI.V S K RAMA RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD copies.

Cvk/kam



HIGH COURT
DATED:29/12/2023

ORDER

CRLRC.No.201 of 2024



CRLRC is Dismissed as Infructuous.

⑧

2/4/24

D.B