

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**SATURDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 8941 OF 2014

Between:

Gundluri Bhaskar, S/o. Sri Subba Rayudu, R/o. Janapriya Uthopiya Apartment, Block No.2, Flat No. 22057, Second Floor, Attapur, Hyderguda, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.2

AND

State of Telangana, Through CBI, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Standing Counsel, High Court at Hyderabad, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Cause title is amended as per the Court order dated 18.11.2023 in I.A.No.2 of 2014 (Crl.P.M.P.No. 17005 of 2014) in Crl.P.No. 8941 of 2014

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the proceedings in CC.No. 13 of 2014 on the file of the Principal Special Judge for CBI cases, Hyderabad to the extent of petitioner is concerned.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(CRLPMP. NO: 8604 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings including appearance of the Petitioner in C.C.No.13/2014 on the file of the Principal Special Judge for CBI Cases, Hyderabad, pending disposal of the above Crl.P.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri P. NAGENDRA REDDY, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri Pinkeshwar Gangwar representing Ms. Ananthi, Special Public Prosecutor for CBI on behalf of the Respondent.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V.VENUGOPAL**CRIMINAL PETITION No.8941 OF 2014****ORDER:**

The present Criminal Petition is filed seeking to quash the proceedings against the petitioner/accused No.2 in C.C.No.13 of 2014 on the file of the learned Principal Special Judge for CBI Cases, Hyderabad (for short, "the trial Court").

2. Heard Mr. P. Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Pinkeshwar Gangwar, learned counsel representing Ms. Ananthi, learned Special Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-CBI.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that the cause in the Criminal Petition does not survive as the C.C.No.13 of 2014 has been disposed of vide judgment dated 23.01.2013 before the trial Court and no further orders are required in this Criminal Petition. To substantiate his contention, learned counsel filed a copy of e-courts proceedings. Therefore, seeks to dismiss the Criminal Petition as infructuous.

4. Learned Special Public Prosecutor takes no objection to the same.

5. Recording the submissions made by both the learned counsel, the Criminal Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- MOHD. ISMAIL
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Special Judge for CBI cases, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Central Bureau of Investigation, Hyderabad.
3. One CC to Sri P. NAGENDRA REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Ms. ANANTHI, Special Public Prosecutor for CBI [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

Njb
NS


\$

HIGH COURT

DATED:18/11/2023

ORDER

CRLP.No.8941 of 2014



**DISMISSING THE CRLP
AS INFRACTUOUS**

⑦ Copies

Sm
4/1/24