

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No: 114 OF 2014

Criminal Revision filed under Section 397 r/w Section 401 of CrPC by the Docket Order dated:11-02-2012 passed in FCOP No.26 of 2009 on the file of the Court of the Judge, Family Court-cum-Additional District Court, Adilabad.

Between:

Nemilikonda Yeshwanth, S/o.Damodhar, Aged about 39 years, Occ: Presently Nil, R/o.Bazarhathnoor Village & Mandal, Adilabad District.

...Petitioner/Respondent

AND

1. Nemilikonda Tanuja, W/o.N.Yeshwanth, Aged 36 years, Occ: Household.
2. Nemilikonda Divakar, S/o.N.Yeshwanth, Aged 12 years, Occ: Student
3. Nemilikonda Pooja, D/o.N.Yeshwanth, Age 10 years, Occ: Student

Respondent No. 2 & 3 being minors under the guardianship of respondent No.1, all are residents of Tatiguda locality of Adilabad Town, Adilabad Dist.

...Respondents/Petitioners

4. State of A.P. rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Respondent

CRLRCMP. NO: 210 OF 2014

Petition under Section 397 (1) r/w 482 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the docket order and decree passed in FC.O.P.No.26 of 2009, dated 11-2-2012 on the file of the Judge, Family Court-cum-Additional District Court, Adilabad, pending disposal of the CrIRC.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. L.SANDEEP, learned counsel representing Mr.
S. SURENDER REDDY**

Counsel for the Respondents No.1 to 3: SRI GAJANAND CHAKRAVARTHI

**Counsel for the Respondents No.4: Mr. VIZARATH ALI, ASSISTANT PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR**

The Court made the following: ORDER

1

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E. V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.114 OF 2014

ORDER:

The present Criminal Revision Case is filed seeking to suspend the operation of the docket order and decree passed in F.C.O.P.No.26 of 2009 dated 11.02.2012 on the file of learned Judge, Family Court-cum-Additional District Court, Adilabad pending disposal of Revision.

2. Heard Mr. L. Sandeep, learned counsel representing Mr. S. Surender Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Vizarath Ali, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent State and Mr. Gajanand Chakravarthy, learned counsel appearing for unofficial respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties to the Revision have compromised the matter and no further orders are necessary in this Revision. Therefore, seeks to dismiss the Criminal Revision Case as infructuous.

4. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor as well as the learned counsel appearing for the unofficial respondents takes no objection to the same.

5. Recording the submissions made by both the learned counsel, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as infructuous.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- B. SATYAVATHI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR



//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Judge, Family Court-cum-Additional District Court, Adilabad (with records, if any)
2. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.(OUT)
3. One CC to SRI S. SURENDER REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI GAJANAND CHAKRAVARTHI, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

kam




HIGH COURT

DATED: 06/07/2023

ORDER

CRLRC.No.114 of 2014



**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE IS
DISMISSED AS INFRACTUOUS**

8
27/8/23
BSR