

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 22642 OF 2016

Between:

D. Sadanandam, S/o. Lingamurthy, Aged about 40 years, Driver (E.323621),
Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Korutla Bus Depot, Karimnagar
District, Telangana State.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Represented by its
Managing Director, Bus Bhavan, Musheerabad, Hyderabad, Telangana State.
2. The Dy. Chief Traffic Manger, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation,
Karimnagar District, Telangana State.
3. The Depot Manager, Telangana State Road Transport Corporation, Korutla
Bus Depot, Karimnagar District, Telangana State.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be
pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more particularly one in the nature of
Writ of Mandamus declaring that the action of the respondent No.2 in not
ordering re-engagement with continuity of service of the petitioner as Contract
Driver is bad, arbitrary, illegal, unjust, unreasonable as the termination of the
petitioner is against to procedure and violation of respondent corporation
circulars and consequently direct the respondents to treat 2nd respondent
proceedings, dt. 23-08-2013 as reinstatement of the petitioner as Driver with
continuity of service, attendant benefits and with all consequential service
benefits including effecting the date of regularization.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP. NO: 27850 OF 2016)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents to consider the petitioner for regularization of his services by treating the respondent No.2 proceedings. dt. 23-08-2013 as re-engagement with continuity of service with all consequential service benefits including effecting the date of regularization.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI P.VENKATESHWAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, SC FOR TSRTC

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 22642 of 2016

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for consideration, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondents submits that the issue raised in this Writ Petition is squarely covered by the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.183 of 2022, dated 15.03.2022.

2. In view of the principles laid down in the above said judgment, the petitioner is not entitled for the relief sought in this Writ Petition.

3. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- P.Ch. NAGABHUSHAMBA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. One CC to SRI P.VENKATESHWAR RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, SC FOR TSRTC [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

BSR
GJP

g

HIGH COURT

DATED: 19/10/2023



ORDER

WP.No.22642 of 2016

**DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION,
WITHOUT COSTS**

5 Copies
Em
3/11/23