

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY ,THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE G.ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 3127 OF 2022

Petition under Section 115 of CPC against the Order dated 29.08.2022 in I.A.No.272 of 2018 in A.S.No.11 of 2018 on the file of the Court of the Principal District Judge, Jagtial.

Between:

Thummala Bhooma Reddy, S/o Venkat Reddy, Aged 62 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Kushtapoor Village, Mallapur Mandal, Jagtial District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. Sarikala Pedda Bhoomanna, S/o Narsimloo, Aged 57 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Kushtapoor Village, Mallapur Mandal.
2. Thummala Saroja, W/o Late Ganga Reddy, Aged 52 years, Occ. Household, R/o Kushtapoor Village, Mallapur Mandal.

...RESPONDENTS

IA NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in A.S.No.11 of 2018 on the file of Principal District Judge, Jagtial during pendency of the C.R.P., and pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI G. MADHUSUDHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI ALLURI DIVAKAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: NONE APPEARED

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION No.3127 of 2022

ORDER :

This civil revision petition is filed challenging the order in I.A No.272 of 2018 in A.S.No.11 of 2018 dated 29.08.2022 on the file of Principal District Judge, Jagtial.

2. Learned counsel for the revision petitioner would submit that the present case is filed challenging the application filed under Order 41 rule 27 of C.P.C. seeking permission to produce additional evidence.

3. During the course of the arguments, learned counsel for the revision petitioner submitted that the appeal itself was disposed of by the appellate Court and the matter was remanded back to the trial Court for fresh consideration. As the appeal has been disposed of, the interlocutory application filed in the appeal becomes infructuous and the counsel for the revision petitioner seeks permission to raise all the objections before the trial Court.

4. Taking into consideration that appeal itself is disposed of, liberty is given to the petitioner to raise all the objections before the trial Court with regard to the documents, which the respondents want to file along with I.A.No.272 of 2018.

5. With the above observations, civil revision petition is disposed of. No costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/-G.SIREESHA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal District Judge, Jagtial.
 2. One CC to Sri G Madhusudhan Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
 3. One CC to Sri Alluri Divakar Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
 4. Two CD Copies
- gbr




HIGH COURT

DATED: 17/04/2023

ORDER

CRP.No.3127 of 2022



**DISPOSING OF THE CIVIL REVISION PETITION
WITHOUT COSTS**

⑥ VW
11/5/23