

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION (TR) NO: 2481 OF 2017

Between:

R. Madhumohan Reddy, S/o Venkat Reddy age 57 years, working as
Additional Superintendent of Police, Medak, Medak District. R/o Medak

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep by its Principal, Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad-500 022.
2. The Government of Telangana rep.by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Hyderabad-500 022.
3. The Director General of Police A P Hyderabad.,
4. The Director General of Police, Telangana / Hyderabad.
5. Mr C Narotham Reddy s/o and age not known, Addl. S.P. O/o D.G.P. Lakdikapul, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to declare the impugned action of the respondents in not including/excluding the name of the applicant in the provisional seniority list of Deputy Superintendents of Police (Civil) fit for promotion to the category of Addl. Superintendents of police issued vide G. O. Ms.No.112 Home (Ser.I)Department dt 14.7.2014 and further taking steps to revert him from the present post as illegal, arbitrary and the one having far reaching adverse affect on his career and further also subject him to mental agony working under his juniors and consequently direct the Respondents to include the name of the applicant in the seniority list of Deputy Superintendents of Police(Civil) fit for promotion to the category of

Addl. Superintendents of Police at an appropriate place above the name of his junior Sri C. Narotham Reddy.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. K RAM REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR SERVICES

The Court made the following: ORDER

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION (TR) No. 2481 OF 2017

ORDER:

Today when the matter has been taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to efflux of time, the cause in the writ petition (TR) does not survive for further adjudication and the learned Government Pleader appearing for respondents has fairly acceded to the same.

2. Recording the submissions made by learned counsel appearing on either side, the Writ Petition (TR) is dismissed as infructuous.

3. Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

SD/-B. CHANDRA PRAKASH
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

- To,
1. One CC to Sri. K Ram Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
 2. Two CCs to GP for Services, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
 3. Two CD Copies

TJ
BS

CHP

HIGH COURT

DATED:24/01/2023

ORDER

WP(TR).No.2481 of 2017



DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION (TR)
AS INFRUCTUOUS WITHOUT COSTS.

⑥ CRR
09/03/23