

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E.V. VENUGOPAL

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 2286 OF 2013

Revision filed under Section 397 & 401 of Cr.P.C., against the Judgment dated 17-03-2012 DVC.No.4 of 2012, on the file of the of Court of the XIV Spl. Magistrate, Hyderabad and confirming the Judgment dt. 08-08-2013 passed in in Crl.A.No.320 of 2012 on the file of the Court of the V Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, (Mahila Court) at Hyderabad.

Between:

Mubeen Ur Rehman, S/o Mohd. Shafee Ur Rahman, R/o H.No.6-6-107, Momin Mohalla, Gadwal, Mahabubnagar District.

...PETITIONER/APPELLANT/RESPONDENT NO.1

AND

1. The State of A.P. Rep. by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.

RESPONDENT

2. Saleha Banu, W/o Mubeen Ur Rehman, aged about 37 years, R/o 163/153, Quli Qutub Shah Nagar, Tombs Road, Tolichowki, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS/PETITIONERS

CRLRCMP. NO: 3452 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the Judgment in CrI.A.No.320 of 2012 dt.8.8.2013 on the file of the court of V Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, (Mahila court) at Hyderabad in confirming the orders in DVC No.4 of 2012 dt.17.3.2012 on the file of the court of the XIV Spl. Magistrate Hyderabad.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. THUMU CHANDRA SHEKAR (Not Present)

**Counsel for the RespondentNo.1: SRI VIZARATH ALI,
ASSISTANT PUBLIC PROSECUTOR**

Counsel for the RespondentNo.2: None Appeared

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E. V. VENUGOPAL**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.2286 OF 2013****ORDER:**

This Criminal Revision Case is filed under Sections 397 and 401 Cr.P.C., to set aside the order dated 17.03.2012 in D.V.C.No.4 of 2012 on the file of Court of XIV Special Magistrate, Hyderabad, in confirming the interim maintenance by dismissing the Criminal Appeal vide Judgment in CrI.A.No.320 of 2012 on the file of V Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, (Mahila Court) at Hyderabad.

2. No representation on behalf of the petitioner. Heard Mr. Vizarith Ali, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent State.

3. A perusal of the docket proceedings shows that there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 14.06.2023 and 28.06.2023. Even today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, in spite of listing the matter under the caption "for dismissal. It appears that the petitioner is not evincing any interest to prosecute the case.

— —

4. Hence, the Criminal Revision Case is dismissed for default accordingly.

Miscellaneous Petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/-I.NAGALAKSHMI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The V Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, (Mahila court) at Hyderabad(With Records if any)
2. The XIV Special Magistrate Hyderabad
3. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI. THUMU CHANDRA SHEKAR, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

pr

6

HIGH COURT

DATED:05/07/2023

ORDER

CRLRC.No.2286 of 2013



**DIMISSING THE CRL.RC
AS DEFAULT**

8 copies

Sm
14/8/23