

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.1215 of 2010

ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed against the Order dated 29.05.2010 in M.C.No.60 of 2006 on the file of learned Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge for the Trial of JHCBBC cum Additional Family Court cum XXIII Additional Chief Judge, Hyderabad.

2. Today when the matter came up for hearing, there is no representation on behalf of the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

3. Perusal of the record shows that petitioner/wife filed M.C.No.60 of 2006 against the respondent/husband claiming maintenance of Rs.8000/- per month. She stated that their marriage was performed on 12.12.2002 and respondent/husband was working as a lecturer in S.S.R. junior College on honorary basis and also getting additional income of Rs.10,000/- per month from today association. She further stated that she worked as a lecturer in private college in

Barikatpura when the respondent was preparing for Group-I examinations.

4. The respondent/husband stated that he obtained divorce by Order dated 05.07.2006 in O.P.No.60 of 2005 on the file of the learned Senior Civil Judge, Nizamabad and she is not entitled for maintenance and he stated that he is not having any income from any other source.

5. The petitioner/wife was examined herself as P.W.1 and marked Exs.P1 & P2. The respondent/husband was examined himself as R.W.1 and also examined other witness R.W.2 and marked Exs.R.1 to R7 on his behalf.

6. The trial Court considering the arguments of both sides and evidence on record, granted maintenance of Rs.6,000/- per month to the petitioner/wife from the date filing petition. Aggrieved by the same, respondent/husband preferred present Revision Case.

7. The appellant mainly contended that he worked as a part time lecturer in S.S.R Junior College on honorary basis for some time and presently he is not on rolls, he is not getting any salary

as a lecturer. Whereas, his wife is a Post Graduate in Sanskrit and working as a lecturer in two or three colleges simultaneously and the amount granted by the trial Court is excessive and thus requested the Court to set aside the Order of the trial Court in M.C.No.60 of 2006.

8. The appellant herein did not turn up and not evinced any interest in pursuing the revision case, the trial Court considering the oral and documentary evidence rightly granted maintenance to the respondent herein. This Court finds no infirmity in the Order of the trial Court and hence, Criminal Revision Case is devoid of merits and is dismissed.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

DATED: 03.02.2023

tri

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No. 1215 of 2010

DATED: 03.02.2023

TRI