

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**MONDAY ,THE TWENTIETH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 11333 OF 2023

Between:

1. P.N.Suresh, S/o. Late P.N.Venkata Siva Sarma, Aged about 58 years, Occ Business, R/o. Flat No.304, Greenspace D Bloc Puppalaguda, Manikonda, Gandipet Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.
2. Urul Reddy, s/O. Patolla Narsimha Reddy, Aged about 40 years, Occ Business, R/o. H.No.5-38, Kollur Village, Sadasivapet Mandal, Medak District.

...PETITIONER No. 1 & 2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by the Public Prosecutor, High Court at Hyderabad
...RESPONDENT No.1
2. Sub Divisional Police Officer, at Sanga Reddy, Sanga Reddy District.
3. Yelimela Pramod, S/o. V. Somaiah, Age Major, Occ Business, R/o. H.No.1-12, Kolluru Village, Ramachandrapuram, Sanga Reddy District

...RESPONDENT No.2/DEFACTO COMPLAINANT/R3

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to Grant ANTICIPATORY BAIL to the Petitioners in the event of their arrest in unnumbered crime on the file of Sub-Divisional Police Officer at Sanga Reddy on the complaint lodged by the De-facto complainant Respondent No. 3.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri NARASIMHULU K.B, Advocate for the Petitioner and the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No. 1 and of None appeared for the Respondent No. 2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11333 OF 2023

ORDER:

1. This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. by the petitioners/Accused Nos.1 and 2 seeking to grant anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in unnumbered crime on the file of Sub-Divisional Police Officer at Sanga Reddy, on the complaint lodged by the de facto complainant/respondent No.3.
2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State and perused the record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that since the petitioners have filed a complaint against the de facto complainant, which was registered as crime, a false complaint is filed by the de facto complainant against them making out the caste issues.
4. According to the petitioners, the complaint lodged by the de facto complainant was not registered. In the complaint, the de facto complainant is alleging offences under Sections SCs/STs Act. The said complaint was filed only to pressurize these

petitioners to settle the issues with regard to the complaint lodged by them.

5. Since there is a case already filed by the petitioners against the de facto complainant, the police are directed to follow the procedure laid down under Section 41-A Cr.P.C and also the guidelines formulated by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar**¹ scrupulously, in the event of registration of crime against these petitioners. The petitioners shall co-operate with the Investigating Officer by furnishing information and documents as sought in concluding the investigation.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is disposed off. Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- MODH. ISMAIL
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate at Sangareddy.
2. The Station House Officer, BDL Bhanoor Police Station, Sangareddy District.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad. (OUT)
4. One CC to SRI. NARASIMHULU, K.B, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

DL


N.A.

HIGH COURT

DATED:20/11/2023

ORDER

CRLP.No.11333 of 2023



Crl.P is disposed off

8 vlv
29/11/23