

MAIN CASE No. W.A.No.921 of 2023

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	22.09.2023	<u>CJ & NVSK, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2023</u> Mr. Srikanth Hariharan, learned counsel for the appellant. Mr. R. Sushanth Reddy, learned counsel for respondent No.1. Ms. B. L. Kanakavalli, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development, for respondent No.2. Mr. M. Durga Prasad, learned Standing Counsel for the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, for respondent Nos.3 to 5. Heard on I.A.No.1 of 2023. For the reasons assigned in the application, duly supported by an affidavit, we find that sufficient cause for condonation of delay of 101 days in filing the appeal is made out. In the result, delay in filing the appeal is condoned and the interlocutory application is allowed. _____ CJ (AAJ) _____ NVSK, J <u>I.A.No.2 of 2023</u> For the reasons assigned in the application, requirement of filing the certified copy of the order is dispensed with.	

		In the result, the aforesaid interlocutory application is allowed. <u>CJ (AAJ)</u> <u>NVSK, J</u> <u>W.A.No.921 of 2023</u> Writ appeal is allowed. (vide separate judgment) ES	
--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--