

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P.SREE SUDHA

APPEAL SUIT NO: 137 OF 2010

Appeal under section 96 of C.P.C., against the Judgment and Decree dated 15.06.2009 in O.S.No.1805 of 2005 on the file of the Court of the VII Additional Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District.

Between:

1. Sagar Thermit Corporation Ltd., Rep. by its Managing Director, K. Udayananda Reddy, Plot No. B-67, 68 A.P.I.E., Hyderabad - 500 037.
2. K.Udayananda Reddy, S/o. Rami Reddy, aged about 53 years, Occ: Managing Director Plot No. B-67, 68 A.P.I.E., Hyderabad - 500 037.

...APPELLANT/DEFENDANTS

AND

B. Surender Reddy, S/o Amrutha Reddy, aged about 41 years, Occ: Business R/o. H.No. 1-1-14, Plot No. 30, Opp Janapriya Apartments, Mohan Nagar, Kothapet, Hyderabad

...RESPONDENT/PLAINTIFF

ASMP. NO: 379 OF 2010

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation and execution of the Decree passed by the VII Additional Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District in O.S.No. 1805 of 2005, dated 15-06-2009.

Counsel for the Appellant: SRI. D JAIPAL REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI L PRABHAKAR REDDY

The Court made the following: JUDGMENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE P. SREE SUDHA

APPEAL SUIT NO. 137 OF 2010

JUDGMENT:

Plaintiff filed O.S.No.1805 of 2005 on the file of VII Additional Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District at I.B.Nagar, Hyderabad for recovery of money and the same was decreed, against which the defendants therein preferred the present Appeal Suit before this Court.

2. A perusal of the docket proceedings shows that the learned counsel for the appellants did not evince any interest to pursue the present appeal proceedings. On 11.09.2023 there was no representation on behalf of the learned counsel for the appellant and the matter was directed to be listed under the caption 'for dismissal'. Even today also, there is no representation for the appellants. No purpose would be served in continuing the Appeal Suit.

3. Accordingly, the Appeal Suit is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- T. SRINIVAS
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The VII Additional Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District at L.B.Nagar
2. One CC to SRI. D JAIPAL REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to SRI. L PRABHAKAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies


TYH/PR

HIGH COURT

DATED:26/09/2023

JUDGMENT

AS.No.137 of 2010



DISMISSING THE APPEALSUIT

⑥ vlv
3/2/24