

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**WEDNESDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 1935 OF 2009

Criminal Revision Case under Section 397 (1) and 401 of Cr.P.C. against the Judgment dated 19/10/2009 made in CrI.M.P.No.1253 of 2009 in C.C.No..104 of 2009 on the file of the Court of the XIX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

Between:

1. M/s. Amareswara Agri-Tech Limited, 301, Durga Apartments, Raj Bhavan Road, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.
2. K.Giridhar, S/o K.V.Subba Rao, Chairman 301, Durga Apartments, Raj Bhavan Road, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.
3. K.Prasad, S/o K.V.Subba Rao, Chairman 301, Durga Apartments, Raj Bhavan Road, Somajiguda, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS/ACCUSED

AND

1. M/s. A.P. State Seeds Development Corporation Limited, 5-10-193, HACA Bhavan, Saifabad, Hyderabad, Rep. by Asst. Manager (Admn.), D.Bheemasena Rao.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

CRL.R.C.M.P. NO: 2718 OF 2009:

Petition under Section 397 (1) of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Revision Case, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay all further proceedings including the appearance of the accused in C.C.No.104 of 2009, on the file of the XIX Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI ANAND REP. SRI P.NAGENDRA REDDY,
ADVOCATE**

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI CH.SHYAM SUNDER RAO, ADVOCATE

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following: ORDER

THE HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE D.NAGARJUN

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.1935 of 2009

ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed by the petitioners/accused under Section 397 (1) and 401 of the Criminal Procedure Code, aggrieved by the Orders dated 19.10.2009 passed in CrI.M.P.No.1253 of 2009 in C.C.No.104 of 2009 by the learned XIX Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

02. When the matter has been taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this matter has become infructuous.

03. In view of the above submission, this Criminal Revision Case is liable to be dismissed as infructuous.

04. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed as infructuous. There is no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending Miscellaneous Applications, if any, shall stand closed.

**SD/-I.NAGALAKSHMI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,


1. The XV Addl. Judge-cum-XIX Addl. Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.
2. Two CCs to The Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
3. One CC to SRI P.NAGENDRA REDDY Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to SRI CH.SHYAM SUNDER RAO Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

HIGH COURT

DATED: 01/02/2023

ORDER

CRLRC.No.1935 of 2009



**DISMISSING THE CRIMINAL REVISION CASE
AS INFRUCTUOUS**

7
HMA
4/13/2023