

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

WEDNESDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION NO. 23261 OF 2007

Between:

D.A.Reddy, S/o.Pulla Reddy, E.85071, Aged about 53 years, Occ: Conductor, R/o.
Plot No.91, High Court Colony, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The APSRTC., represented by its Regional Manager, APSRTC, Ranga Reddy Region, MGBS, Hyderabad.
2. The APSRTC, represented by its Dy. Chief Traffic Manager, Ranga Reddy Region, MGBS, Hyderabad.
3. The APSRTC, represented by its Depot Manager, Hyderabad-II Bus Depot, Dilsukhnagar, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in issuing the proceedings No. O1/804(4)/2005-H2, dated 21.11.2005 in so far as imposing punishment by reducing Petitioner pay by two incremental stages i.e., from Rs.6,355/- to 6,085/- is illegal, arbitrary and unjust and consequently set aside the same by granting increments to the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI A. VEERASEKHAR RAO (NOT PRESENT)

Counsel for the Respondents: SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, S.C. FOR TSRTC

The Court made the following: ORDER

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. SREENIVAS RAO

WRIT PETITION No.23261 of 2007

ORDER:

When the matter was taken up for consideration on 12.06.2023, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, to give one opportunity to the petitioner, the matter was posted to 13.06.2023.

2. On 13.06.2023 also there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, To give one more opportunity to the petitioner the matter was posted to 14.06.2023 under the caption "for dismissal".

3. In spite of the matter being listed today under the caption "for dismissal", there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, it appears that the petitioner has no interest in prosecuting this case.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K.SREERAMA MURTHY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI A. VEERASEKHAR RAO, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI THOOM SRINIVAS, S.C. for TSRTC [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

MP

GJP

A

HIGH COURT

DATED:14/06/2023



ORDER

WP.No.23261 of 2007

DISMISSING THE WRIT PETITION
FOR NON PROSECUTION
WITHOUT COSTS

5
23/06/23
sw/ma