

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

**FRIDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K.SURENDER

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 421 OF 2022

ALONG WITH I.A. NO. 3 OF 2022

Crl. Revision Case Under Sections 397 & 404 of CrI.P.C. aggrieved by the Judgment dated 21-08-2018 in CrI.A.No. 962 of 2017 on the file of the Court of the VII Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad confirming the Judgment dated 03-08-2017 in C.C.No. 22 of 2016 on the file of the Court of the XII Special Magistrate, Hyderabad.

Between:

Anthapur Sudhakar Reddy, Director, M/s Janatha Ready-mix Concrete (India) Private Limited, Tataguni Village, Opposite BWSSB Water Tank, Kanakapura Road, Bangalore.

...PETITIONER/ Appellant / Accused No. 4

AND

- 1. The State of Telangana , Rep. by its Public Prosecutor. High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.**
- 2. M/s Bharathi Cement Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Office at Reliance Magestic Building, Door No. 8-2-626, Road Ne.10. Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Special Power of Attorney Holder Sudhir Kumar Pola.**

.. 2nd Respondent/ Respondent / Complainant

IA NO: 3 OF 2022

Between:

M/s Bharathi Cement Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Office at Reliance Magestic Building, Door No. 8-2-626, Road Ne.10. Banjara Hills, Hyderabad, Rep. by its Special Power of Attorney Holder Sudhir Kumar Pola.

**.. Petitioner / 2nd Respondent/
Complainant**

And

- 1. Anthapur Nagi Reddy, Managing Director, M/s Janatha Ready-mix Concrete (India) Private Limited, Tataguni Village, Opposite BWSSB Water Tank, Kanakapura Road, Bangalore.**
**.. Respondent/ Petitioner/
Accused -2**

2. The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor. High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.

Petition under Section 482 r/w. Section 320 of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit the petitioner/Complaint to compound the offences which is subject matter of C.C.No. 22 of 2016 on the file of Court XII Special Magistrate, Hyderabad.

IA NO: 2 OF 2022

Petition under Section 397 (1) of Cr.P.C. praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the sentence of imprisonment made in Judgment dated 21/08/2018 in CrI. Appeal No.962 of 2017 on the file of the Court of the VII Addl. Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, which was passed in confirming the conviction and sentence of imprisonment made in Judgment dated 03/08/2017 in C.C.No.22 of 2016 on the file of the Court of the XII Special Magistrate, Hyderabad and release the Petitioner on Bail, pending disposal of the above CrI. Revision Case .

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. V. YADU KRISHNA SAINATH

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1: THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

Counsel for the Respondent No. 2 : M/s. Rajesh Maddy

The Court made the following ORDER:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K. SURENDER

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.421 OF 2022
ALONG WITH I.A.No.3 OF 2022

COMMON ORDER:

This Criminal Revision Case is filed under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') by the petitioner/accused No.4 aggrieved by the confirmation of conviction vide judgment dated 21.08.2018 in Criminal Appeal No.962 of 2017 by the VII Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, passed by the XII Special Magistrate, Hyderabad in C.C.No.22 of 2016.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent – State. Perused the record.

3. The complainant is before this Court having filed application vide I.A.No.3 of 2022 to permit the complainant to compound the offence on the ground of compromise.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the complainant that on the intervention of elders and well wishers of both the parties, the disputes were sorted out amicably. In terms of compromise, the complainant and accused No.4 have entered into a Memorandum of compromise dated 08.07.2022 and

in terms of the same, the complainant is not interested to prosecute the criminal revision case.

5. Since issues have been settled and complainant had been compensated to their satisfaction, this Court deems it appropriate to allow the I.A.No.3 of 2022 and consequently, the Criminal Revision Case on the ground of compromise. Accordingly, the judgment dated 21.08.2018 in Criminal Appeal No.962 of 2017 passed by the VII Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, is hereby set aside, insofar as the petitioner/accused No.4 is concerned. If the petitioner/accused No.4 is in jail, he shall be set at liberty forthwith, if he is not required in any other cases.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- K. SAILESHI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To


1. The VII Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.
2. The XII Special Magistrate, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to SRI. V. YADU KRISHNA SAINATH, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri M/s. RAJESH MADDY, Advocate (OPUC)
6. Two CD Copies

Kul/kam

HIGH COURT
DATED:30/01/2024

ORDER

CRLRC.No.421 of 2022
Along with I.A.No. 3 of 2022



ALLOWING THE CRL.R.C.

9
[Signature]
18/5/2024